## CIRCULAR.

## The Discussion Relative to the Bit. Carroll Seminary.

[CONTINUED.]

tention of the public to some gross misrepresentations which I find in a pamphlet purporting, nominally and mainly, to have emenated from N. Halderman, as

replying to Rev. C. Gray.

In my opinion, justice demands for this community generally, as well as for Mr. Gray, that a more full and correct statement be made public relative to some ofthe absurdities contained in that pamphlet. It appears that the discussion has at last reached a point at which Mr. H. is willing to have it stop, and has ceased making further "demands," having (as he thinks) slain all whose puny voices have been raised in opposition to his, (except that of the Boy editor) he has no more to do, and if the reason assigned is the correct one, it is a littlesingular that his pamphlet should manifest the tone which it does. And however much I, with others, may sympathize with Mr. H. in his affliction, yet it in no wise lessers the sympathy we entertain for Rev. C. Gray, in enduring the contaminating influence of the false charge brought against him by Messrs. Rinewalt & Halderman, that he was the originator of the distilling business in Mt. Carroll.

There are many persons, having come into this community since the Hydraulic Company engaged in the distilling business, who are not acquainted with the facts in the case, (as they are known to have existed, by a large portion of the population) and are inclined to give credit to the charge. Therefore, being in possession of the facts, as I am, I feel it to be my duty to exonerate Mr. Gray from the charge, if I can do so through a plain statement of facts concerning the matter. It is submitting to enough to know that there are hundreds, at a distance, who will receive the pamphlet containing this charge, who, of course, will believe it, having never heard the other side of the question. But, to allow so

I take this method of calling the at- groundless a fabrication to fasten a stigma upon the reputation of a venerable and worthy minister of the Gospel, in a community where the facts are known to be otherwise, it is intolerable, and while I have a voice, it shall be heard in defend-

ing the innocent.

I am well aware that, by taking this step I may expose myself to the same vindictive and slanderous attacks which is characteristic of the writings that have come out against Mr. Gray, and others, simply for daring to speak the truth; but if it is my duty to remain silent while seeing an innocent and worthy citizen wrongfully crushed, without any just cause, I have yet to learn it. Messrs. Rinewalt & Halderman, finding it impossible to effect an overthrow of Rev. C. Gray's arguments, fairly and honorably, have sought to slide out of their unpleasant position by attempting to destroy his moral standing, through a puny and contradictory effort, accompanied by a solemn declaration, made by John Rinewalt, (with an uplifted hand),

1st. That Mr. Gray was the first individual that ever "suggested" and "recommended" the distillery business, in this place, when, to the best of my knowledge, Messrs. R. & H. had been talking about the distillery, months before Mr.

Gray heard of it at all.

2d. That the article recommended to be made was Highwines, when I believe that I can now prove by all of the then stockholders, (with but few exceptions,) that the word Highwines never escaped their (R. & H.'s) lips while persuading per-

sons to take stock.

3d. That these Highwines were no more like a beverage than that of which theywere made of, viz: wheat, corn, &c. This must have been an awful mistake, for the stuff made by the Hydraulic Company, called Highwines, (not wheat, corn, &c.,) is used as a beverage, in this town, regularly,-If Mr. Rinewalt was so profoundly ignorant tions as to what Highwines and Whisky was, or was not, (very reasonable,) how did he happen to forget both of those words, and call it 95 proof Alcehol, to the first would be stockholder he met on the street afterwards!

4th. That being engaged in distilling Highwines (alias High Whiskey,) was as honorable and just a business as could be followed. This fourth perversion is too preposterously absurd to have been uttered by any temperance man in this age of the world, who is endowed with half a share of common sense, and I certainly can have no more favorable opinion of any man whe could greedily guly it down, and then boast of its having been the basis upon which to establish such a concern as the Mt. Carroll Distillery, where the socalled Highwines are made low enough

to be used as a beverage. Those who have known Rev. C. Grav, in this community, the last twelve years, will not be satisfied with such a mess of balderdash, when I tell them that I can substantiate the fact that Mr. R. has voluntarily stated, that before he would be guilty of making an article which could be used as a beverage, he would suffer his right arm (upon which is that uplifted hand) to drop from his shoulder, and now he is engaged in doing this very thing, while he tells us that he does not consider there is any immorality about it, except that it don't pay. He has, at another time in a public meeting, said that he not only considered this an honorable, but a Holy work. And why! Because it was in the hands, and under the entire control, of temperance men who would not suffer the article made to be used for any purpose whatever, except mechanical and medicinal. Now, the stuff goes no farther than Fulton, or Freeport, before it is made into Whisky.

Now, when that uplifted hand is weighed, how much consistency is there in Mr. R.'s fabrication, when compared with the facts in the case. It certainly can effect none except those who are beyond the reach of the truth.

I have been more intimate with Mr. Gray, since the time the Hydraulic Stock was taken, than any man in this community, and think I have as correct a view of his position, relative to that concern, as any one. The same season that the stock was taken, Mr. R. had been East, and, on his return, said that he had ascertained that the manufacture of Alcohol-95 proof-would be the most profit-

as to need the Rev. gentleman's instruc- | that it could be conducted upon strictly temperance principles, by running it up so high that it could not be reduced to a beverage. He also said he then had an offer, from a burning fluid establishment, of twenty-five per cent, profit, over cost at the distillery, for all the 95 proof Alcohol, that they could make for five years; vet there was no doubt but that fifty per cent. could be realized from it. These were the inducements held out to the community. Many of the best temperance men in the place, were induced to take stock by his flattering statements.-He had no doubt but that it could be coatrolled until it was made into burning flaid. He had investigated this subject sat-We believed that they could sfactor thy. control it from the whisky traffic; and we believed they would do so. In fact, we believed every word they said in relation to the subject. They were then considered as being among our best temperance men; and why not trust them in such a business, if any one? I, with others, owe it to Mr. Gray alone, that we were not largely involved in the Hydraulic Stock. His advice to us was not to touch it. He said that he did not doubt the honesty and intentions of Messrs. R. & H., in the least, but there was doubt, as to what changes might take place, for the great profits then realized from 95 proof Alcohol and burning fluid, would be likely to induce many more establishments of the kind, to be put in operation, than could make it pay. He also said that we could have no assurance that the concern would not pass into the control of other parties who would make nothing but These are the reasons I gave whisky. for not taking stock. Still. I was confident they would fulfil their pledge, in making Alcohol, and restrain it from the whisky traffic. But there were those in this community who saw farther, and battled it stoutly, from the first. knowing ones have denied that ever anything was said about what use the stuff should be applied to. But Mr. H. sets this part of the subject upon its right basis by saying that it was "to be converted into burning fluid, and was not to be sold in such a manner as to bring reproach upon the Company, for vending it as intoxicating liquors;" and that their "intention in regard to the use to which the Highwines should be applied has been conscientiously fulfilled."-How Mr. H. can console himself with the idea that an intelligent community (the most of whom are in possession of the facts relative to the motives held up to able business that could be gone into, and the public, while inducing persons to his elusory statements, is quite a mystery

to many.

Let me see how well they will bear investigation. He says, emphatically, that their intentions have been conscientiously fulfilled. Now the question comes up as to what that "intention" originally was - proof Algohol should be made if it was at first to do just what has been the "Company." done, why were the stockholders and the public generally, described by the repeated of 95 proof Alcohol made, as proof 2 and re-repeated declaration of Messrs R. 5th. That Messrs R & H. did not be a second of the second of t cohol should be made and sold by the Company, and that this article (not "Highwines) would not be allowed to pass into the control of any one who would permit it to be "converted" into any use whatever, except mechanical and mudic-But, if their "intention" was then inal? just what they publicly declared it was, what a wonderful elastic process must have been wrought upon their "consciences," which once were so keen and sensitive as to refuse to sell a gallon of an article, (with which to make pickels,) which was the same as that now sold by the wagon load, to be converted into whisky. What "conscientiousness" must a man have to come down from the "intention" of making 95 id, to the making of "Highwines," (alias High whisky) to be run down to low whisky! But "conscience" approves it all. If their faculties generally are as badly warped as their "consciences" are, it will be easy to account for the vilifying tone that characterizes the articles published against Rev. C. Gray, for venturing to speak the truth which they have, as yet, been unable to disprove.

If their equivocations and "ipse dixit" were argument, they might have some show of success; but it is not, and they know it, else why take up with the con-temptible alternative of wrongfully endeavoring, through "artifice" and stratagem, to ruin the moral standing of a venerable minister of the Gospel, by their navy. Such a statement as this, made in faint pretense, that he was the origin of direct opposition to the facts, is enough the Mt. Carroll vistillery, hoping in this way to effect something against his otherwise indisputable arguments, among those unacquainted with him. This is carrying out the principle of killing an innocent man, to avoid the truth of his testimony. Nevertheless, it must be done .-

Truth shall not prevail.

I now publicly defy the whole "Hy-draulic Company," and all their coadjutors, to prove, fairly, the following state-

take stock) is going to be humbuged with lidentical person who "first suggested" the distilling business in Mt. Carroll.

2d. That, at first. Messrs. R & H said they "intended" to "manufacture" and

sell "Highwines."

3d. That Mesers R & H did the start say that nothing

4th. That there ever bas been & R., that nothing short of 95 proof Al- it had become known that they were making "Highwines" only) state pos-tively, that it was their "intention" to do so only a short time, until they would be able to procure the necessary aparatus. with which to run it up to Alcohol, as first intended?

6th. To show how they have "conscientiously fulfilled" their first "intentions," by selling the "Highwines" to whisky distillers or whisky merchants, promiscuously,

Now, if an "investigation is demanded," I claim that it shall be under the following stipulations:

1st. I shall be allowed the right to say something in regard to the preparatory

steps. 2d. I must have more than "twenty-four proof Alcohol, to be run up to burning flu- hours notice," and that the time shall not

be in the night. 3d. The "Hydraulic Company" shall

not be the "investigating" tribunal. 4th. Evidence shall be admissible from

outside of the Distillery family, 5th. If an "adjournment" is wreed, for a good and "sufficient" reason, it shall be

granted.

Mr. H.'s attack upon the "Union School movement" is unaccountable, except upon the principle that all "movements" must be crushed, or sucumb to his beck and nod. He says, "The feelings of chagrin and disappointment felt by the originators of this Union School movement in having their base designs frustrated, was another fruitful source of mischief toward the Semito justify the conclusion that the people of Mt. Carroll cannot expect any good "movement" will be tolerated by Mr. H. and his fraternity, unless it emenates from himself, or the "Seminary."

The "Union School" had been in successful operation for months before the circulation of Mr. H's pamplet, to the full satisfaction of all interested. It is attended by over 300 pupils, and is under the charge of Miss L. C. Witt, principal, Miss L. Pyle and Miss Harmon, assis-1st. That "John Rinewalt" is not the | tants, and Prof Lawrence, teacher of Lan-

guages, in the Higher Department, and ally to the interest of the stockholders. Messrs, A. J. Forbes and D. F. Crouse, in the Primary Department, all of whom are as well qualified for their respective positions, as any in the State. This is an explanation of "base designs frust-ated," according to the version of Mr. H., who might have been in Mr. H.'s pocket, bad also says, in replying to the editor of the Republican, through the Home Intelligencer of Feb. 18th, "that, upon careful reflection. I see no cause to change my views relative to any of the statements contained in my pamphlet. 'What I have written, I have written.' "

If we, the free-born citizens of this great American Republic, residing north of Mason & Dixon's line, cannot be allowed the right to establish our own institutions of learning, in our own way, when in accordance with the laws of the State, without having our motives stigmatized (by Mr. H., or any one else,) as being "base designs," then I say it is time for the PEOPLE to get waked up to a de-

fence of their own rights.

In establishing a \* Graded Union School" upon the proper basis, we educate our children in the common branches, for the actual cost only, and that, too, economically applied. But Mr. H. says that in this "movement" we have a "base design," because we no not choose to pay twice the amount, for the same purpose, in another direction, and under other systems, that the pecuniary interest of a few may be enhanced thereby. If this principle will stand the test, it is a "base design" in Mr. H. for getting his property insured ir mutual Insurance Company for the actual cost, when, if he would pay twice the amount to a stock company for the same purpose, it would add materi-

This principle is also applicable to the farmer who, instead of selling his wheat to Mr. H., gets it floured at a country mill, and brings it to market, realizing a greater profit from it to himself, which it not been for his "base design" in choosing to regard his own interest, rather

than that of Mr. H.

A jealous portion of the community (by whom I regard Mr. II, as having been used as a tool) who, being ardent friends of the "Seminary," have attacked the "Union School" as being a much dreaded enemy, and then, comparing the motives of others by their own, conclude that all those who favor the "Union School! must be bitter enemies of the "Sent nary." But this is not so: for we do not oppose the "Seminary" as an institution, but regard it as being a good one, in its capacity. Yet we think the citizens of Carroll have reason to complain of the manner in which they have been treated by the Principals, when compared with the terms upon which they obtained the "Seminary."

This furious rivalry is all out of place. and uncalled for. Let each stand upon its own basis. There is a demand for both, and enough for each to do, in its proper sphere. It is said that the "Seminary" is full, and the "Union School" is too much crowded, for convenience .-Both should and will be sustained.

In writing the above. I have intended to make no exagerations, and believe that I have made no statement that I am not able to sustain, in a fair investigation. JAMES HALLETT.

Mt. Carroll, March 4th, 1858.